UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ASHLEY CH	AVEZ, et al.	
	Plaintiff(s),	CASE NO. 11-2152 PJH
SEARS HOL MANAGEMI	v.	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
	sel report that they have met pulation pursuant to Civil L.	t and conferred regarding ADR and have reached the R. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:
The parties a	gree to participate in the foll	lowing ADR process:
(Note: Partie appreciably r	nore likely to meet their nee	
ADR Phone (Conference. See Civil Local ate Process:	Rule 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)
N	_	tify process and provider)
The parties a		
N	other requested deadline _	November 30, 2011
Dated: July 22, 2011		/s/ Garry Tetalman Attorney for Plaintiff
Dated: July 22, 2011		/s/ Sarah Nichols Attorney for Defendant

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to:

- □ Non-binding Arbitration
- □ Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)
- □ Mediation
- Private ADR

Deadline for ADR session

- □ 90 days from the date of this order.
- other November 30, 2011

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 7/26/11



SIGNATURE ATTESTATION PURSUANT TO GENERAL ORDER 45, SECTION X.B

I hereby attest that I have on file consent to sign this e-filed document for plaintiff's counsel with the use of a"conformed" signature (/S/).

/s/ SARAH NICHOLS